



Woolston, P., & Van Duijneveldt, J. S. (2015). Isotropic-nematic phase transition of polydisperse clay rods. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 142, [184901]. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4919887>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1063/1.4919887](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4919887)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Isotropic - nematic phase transition of polydisperse clay rods

Phillip Woolston and Jeroen S. van Duijneveldt

STANDARD DEVIATION IN ASPECT RATIO

As the length and diameter are independent Eq. 1 can be used to calculate the standard deviation in the aspect ratio.

$$\sigma_{L^*/D^*} = \left(\frac{\langle L^* \rangle}{\langle D^* \rangle} \right) \times \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{L^*}}{\langle L^* \rangle} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma_{D^*}}{\langle D^* \rangle} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

The standard deviation in the average $\langle L^*/D^* \rangle$ then follows from Eq. 2, where N is the number of particles counted in analysing the TEM images.

$$\sigma_{\langle L^*/D^* \rangle} = \frac{\sigma_{L^*/D^*}}{\sqrt{N}} \quad (2)$$

PHASE DIAGRAM - ERROR ANALYSIS

As the value of ϕ_I/ϕ_N is taken from extrapolations of the linear trend line for the nematic fraction as a function of rod volume fraction it is possible to rewrite this in terms of the gradient and intercept of the trend lines as seen in Equations 3 to 5 where a is the intercept of the line and b is the gradient, listed in Table I. This allows evaluating the standard deviation in these parameters.

$$0 = a + b\phi_I \text{ and } 1 = a + b\phi_N \quad (3)$$

which rearranges to

$$\phi_I = \frac{-a}{b}, \phi_N = \frac{1-a}{b} \quad (4)$$

so

$$\frac{\phi_I}{\phi_N} = \frac{\frac{-a}{b}}{\frac{1-a}{b}} = \frac{-a}{1-a} \quad (5)$$

The standard deviation of ϕ_I/ϕ_N then follows as

$$\sigma_{\frac{\phi_I}{\phi_N}} = \sigma_a \times \left| \frac{\partial \frac{\phi_I}{\phi_N}}{\partial a} \right| \quad (6)$$

$$\sigma_{\frac{\phi_I}{\phi_N}} = \left| \frac{(-1) \times (1-a) - (-a) \times (-1)}{(1-a)^2} \right| \times \sigma_a = \left| \frac{a-1-a}{(1-a)^2} \right| \times \sigma_a = \frac{\sigma_a}{(1-a)^2} \quad (7)$$

REFERENCES

- ¹N. Yasarawan and J. S. van Duijneveldt, *Langmuir*, 2008, **24**, 7184–92.
- ²Z. X. Zhang and J. S. van Duijneveldt, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 2006, **124**, 154910.
- ³P. A. Buining and H. N. W. Lekkerkerker, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 1993, **97**, 11510–11516.
- ⁴M. P. B. van Bruggen, J. K. G. Dhont and H. N. W. Lekkerkerker, *Macromolecules*, 1999, **32**, 2256–2264.

TABLE I. Data obtained by linear regression applied to the nematic fraction as a function of the core volume fraction.

	Clay	b	σ_b	a	σ_a	$\langle D^*/L^* \rangle$	c_{50}	RSD	Φ_I/Φ_N
Ref. 1	S9	10.99	0.63	-0.138	0.037	0.035	2.75	0.419	0.121
Ref. 1	S9	10.04	0.75	-0.225	0.053	0.046	2.69	0.428	0.184
Ref. 1	S9	6.57	0.15	-0.217	0.018	0.087	2.10	0.397	0.178
Ref. 2	B20	8.92	0.39	-0.534	0.042	0.043	3.17	0.398	0.348
Ref. 2	B20	11.68	0.59	-0.496	0.05	0.032	3.06	0.306	0.332
2	B20	8.4	0.3	-0.704	0.043	0.061	2.57	0.244	0.413
1	B20	8.9	0.8	-0.105	0.049	0.057	2.36	0.547	0.095
2	B20	8.61	0.54	-0.093	0.039	0.060	2.34	0.642	0.085
3	B20	8.97	0.65	-0.162	0.037	0.058	2.46	0.631	0.139
4	B20	10.95	1.56	-0.154	0.075	0.048	2.52	0.632	0.133
5	B20	9.22	0.36	-0.078	0.015	0.050	2.65	0.622	0.072
6	B20	13.34	1.58	-0.335	0.099	0.048	2.63	0.570	0.251
7	B20	18.62	0.41	-0.278	0.011	0.054	1.65	0.630	0.218
Ref. 3	Boehmite	-	-	-	-	0.101	2.91	0.469	0.297
Ref. 4	Boehmite	-	-	-	-	0.071	2.73	0.261	0.345